UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,200	03/22/2006	Se Hwan Yang	58049-00025	4449	
35736 JHK LAW	7590 04/29/200	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1078			WANG, CHANG YU		
LA CANADA, CA 91012-1078			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/595,200	YANG ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chang-Yu Wang	1649	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Chang-Yu Wang</u> .	(3) <u>Joseph H. Kim</u> .		
(2) <u>Christine Saoud</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 April 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	;]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Applicant presented Mizuguchi to argue against the 103 rejection and to show that the			eted in IDS)
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,10 and 18</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>1. Discussed whether am rejection.</u> 2. Discussed whether the claimed product is obvilanguage to overcome the 103 rejection or Applicant would	nended claims overcame the is ous over the prior art. 3. discu	ssue of a potenti Issed possible cl	al ODP
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/C. Y. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 1649	/Christine J Saoud/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647